Research on Key Technologies for Flight Training Based on Simulation Platforms and Its Teaching Practice

Dan Li ¹, Lin Wei ², Shulan Xiang ¹

¹ School of Flight Technology, Civil Aviation Flight University of China, Guanghan 618307, China;

² School of Avionics and Electricity, Civil Aviation Flight University of China, Chengdu 641419, China.

Abstract

Simulation platforms play an increasingly vital role in the ab initio training of flight students and their mastery of key technologies. This paper integrates four specialized research projects completed under the author's supervision, systematically investigating the impact of key flight techniques—including landing aiming point deviation, high-approach energy management, and the crab method versus sideslip method in crosswind conditions—on operational stability and landing performance within high-fidelity simulation environments like X-Plane. Findings reveal a significant linear correlation between landing aiming point position and runway entrance height (R^2 = 0.925), establishing proper aiming point selection as fundamental to achieving a stable approach. Under high-approach conditions, the flap extension method proves superior to the sideslip method in landing stability and landing roll distance control. In crosswind conditions (\leq 10 knots), the sideslip method demonstrates better overall performance, whereas the crab method exhibits superior handling stability as wind intensity increases (>15 knots). Furthermore, a combined crab-sideslip strategy effectively reduces student workload. This study validates the effectiveness of simulation platforms for quantitative flight technology research and provides a theoretical basis and practical pathway for optimizing flight training pedagogy and promoting data-driven decision-making in flight instruction.

Keywords

Flight Simulation; Flight Training; Landing Technique; Crosswind Correction; X-Plane; Teaching Practice Research.

1. Introduction

The quality and efficiency of flight training are directly linked to the technical foundation and safety ethos of the civil aviation pilot cadre. During the initial training phase, a student's ability to precisely master energy management during landing, trajectory control, and correction techniques under specific meteorological conditions serves as a critical benchmark for training effectiveness. However, traditional training models rely heavily on instructor verbal instruction and student experiential accumulation. Core issues such as the impact of landing aiming point deviation, managing high-approach energy, and selecting the optimal correction method for varying crosswind conditions often lack systematic, quantitative data support and theoretical guidance. This experience-oriented teaching model, to some extent,

constrains training standardization and increases the potential risk of students developing unstable control habits.

In recent years, advancements in computer simulation technology have provided unprecedented opportunities for quantitative flight technology research. Flight simulation platforms like X-Plane, with their high-fidelity flight dynamics models, repeatable experimental environments, and controllable test conditions, enable safe and cost-effective replication of complex flight states. Moreover, they facilitate accurate recording of full-flight data, allowing fine-grained, data-driven analysis of traditionally experiential techniques.

Against this backdrop, this paper systematically consolidates four specialized research projects conducted under the guidance of the School of Flight Technology at the Civil Aviation Flight University of China. These studies, focusing on landing aiming point deviation, high-approach energy management, and crosswind landing corrections using platforms such as X-Plane and procedural trainers, form the basis of this work. From a macro perspective of teaching research, this paper synthesizes and refines these findings to analyze the inherent patterns and pedagogical key points of crucial manipulation techniques under different flight conditions. The goal is to provide empirical evidence and theoretical support for constructing a more scientific and standardized flight training system.

2. Research Methods and Experimental Design

This study synthesizes the research methodologies from four sub-projects, all centered on controlled experiments based on flight simulation platforms.

2.1. Experimental Platforms

The research consistently employed X-Plane flight simulation software (versions 11 or 12) as the core experimental environment, supplemented in some studies with CAAC-certified General Aviation SR20 or C172 procedural trainers for data cross-validation. The X-Plane platform utilizes a physics engine based on blade element theory, enabling high-precision simulation of aircraft aerodynamic characteristics and control responses, thereby providing a reliable physical basis for the experiments.

2.2. Research Subjects and Technical Focus

The research focuses on two core aircraft types used in initial flight training: the Cessna 172R and the Cirrus SR20. It revolves around three key technical scenarios:

- 1. Impact of Landing Aiming Point Deviation: Investigating the quantitative effect on aircraft height over the runway threshold by setting aiming points at varying distances (656 ft to 1968 ft) from the runway threshold.
- 2. Comparison of High-Approach Energy Management Methods: Comparing two common methods for eliminating excess altitude the "Flap Extension Method" and the "Sideslip Method"—and their effects on aircraft pitch, roll, heading, and final landing distance during the altitude reduction process.
- 3. Evaluation of Crosswind Correction Method Efficacy: Systematically comparing the impact of the "Crab Method" and the "Sideslip Method" on approach and touchdown phase stability under gradient crosswind conditions (5 kt to 20 kt), and exploring the application value of a

"Crab-Sideslip Combined Strategy."

2.3. Data Collection and Analysis

Each study incorporated rigorous control groups and repeated experiments. Collected data encompassed key parameters such as aircraft attitude angles (pitch, roll), heading, altitude, airspeed, landing load factor, landing roll distance, and lateral deviation. Data analysis employed descriptive statistics, simple linear regression, and independent samples t-tests. Furthermore, a comprehensive evaluation system based on Z-score normalization and expert-assigned weights was constructed for approach stability and landing stability, enabling the quantitative assessment of complex flight quality.



Figure 1. Aircraft attitude under the Crab Method.



Figure 2. Aircraft attitude under the Sideslip Method.

3. Results and Analysis

3.1. Linear Impact of Landing Aiming Point Deviation on Runway Threshold Height

The study targeting the C172R established a linear regression model (y=0.054x-19.693) between landing aiming point position (x, in ft) and runway threshold height (y, in ft). This model indicates a high positive correlation between the two variables (Pearson correlation coefficient r=0.962, coefficient of determination $R^2=0.925$). This implies that for every 100 feet the aiming point moves forward, the aircraft's height over the threshold increases by approximately 5.4 feet on average. This finding quantifies the empirical rule: "A forward aiming point results in a higher threshold height, while a rearward point results in a lower one." If a student selects an actual aiming point that is too far forward, it leads to the aircraft crossing the threshold at an excessively high altitude, significantly increasing the risk of a long

landing or runway overrun. This discovery provides concrete data support for emphasizing the "establishment of stable visual references and precise control of the aiming point" in teaching.

3.2. Aerodynamic Principles of Crosswind Effects

The presence of crosswind induces a sideslip angle during approach. As the sideslip angle increases, the longitudinal component of the true airspeed decreases, subsequently leading to a reduction in aircraft lift. The underlying relationships are expressed by the following equations (1) and (2):

$$V' = V \cos \beta \tag{1}$$

$$L = \frac{SC_L \rho (V \cos \beta)^2}{2} \tag{2}$$

Where V' is the longitudinal component of true airspeed, V is the true airspeed, \mathcal{F} is the

sideslip angle, L is the lift, S is the wing area, C_L is the lift coefficient, and P is the air density.

As derived from the equations, crosswind generating a sideslip angle can result in decreased lift. If not corrected promptly, increasing sideslip angle will gradually reduce lift, leading to an increased sink rate and a decreased angle of attack. Furthermore, crosswind increases the overall drag on the aircraft.

3.3. Stability Differences Between Altitude Reduction Methods Under High-Approach Conditions

When dealing with a high approach, the flight characteristics of the two altitude reduction methods differ significantly. The Flap Extension Method, by increasing lift and drag, induces more considerable changes in pitch attitude and requires more frequent longitudinal trim input from the student. However, it maintains good stability in the roll and heading axes, resulting in a shorter final landing roll distance (average 459.4 ft) with less dispersion. In contrast, the Sideslip Method, while enabling rapid altitude loss, causes sustained roll and heading oscillations, with heading deviations reaching up to 10 degrees. This places extremely high demands on the student's cross-control coordination skills, and its average landing distance is longer (510.2 ft). Comprehensive analysis suggests that for students in training, the Flap Extension Method, due to its better trajectory stability and safer landing performance, should be the primary and foundational method for high-approach altitude reduction.

3.4. Selection of Crosswind Correction Methods and Combined Strategies

Crosswind landing is a key and challenging aspect of initial training. Research indicates that the effectiveness comparison between the Crab Method and the Sideslip Method is closely related to crosswind intensity and is not static.

1. Low Wind Conditions (≤ 10 knots): The Sideslip Method demonstrates higher comprehensive stability during both the approach and touchdown phases. By keeping the aircraft's longitudinal axis aligned with the runway centerline, it provides a more intuitive

heading reference for the student, reduces judgmental errors, and thus offers distinct advantages in lateral control and touchdown precision.

- 2. High Wind Conditions (>15 knots, approaching aircraft limits): The advantages of the Crab Method become apparent. In strong crosswinds, maintaining a sideslip requires substantial rudder and aileron inputs, not only greatly increasing student workload but also significantly degrading aircraft aerodynamic performance. The Crab Method, utilizing a "crab" angle on approach, maintains higher aerodynamic efficiency for the aircraft itself, with relatively lower control loads, thus providing better approach stability under strong wind conditions. However, the Crab Method requires precise removal of the crab angle immediately before touchdown, presenting a severe test of the student's timing skills.
- 3. Combined Strategy: Based on these findings, a "Crab-Sideslip Combined Strategy" can be introduced in advanced training. This involves using the Crab Method on the long final approach to reduce sustained control load, transitioning smoothly to the Sideslip Method upon entering the short final to achieve a precise touchdown. Practice has shown that this strategy effectively allocates student attention, balancing track stability with landing accuracy, and serves as an effective pedagogical approach for enhancing handling capability under complex conditions.

Table 1. Mean values, reference values, and mean absolute deviations of various landing indicators under different operation methods.

| Landing Indicator | Operation Method | Mean Value | Referenc e Value | Mean Absolute Deviation |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|
| Touchdown Speed (kt) | Crab Method | 71.55 | 62 | 9.55 |
| | Sideslip Method | 75.75 | | 13.75 |
| Landing Load Factor (g) | Crab Method | 1.30 | 1.0 | 0.30 |
| | Sideslip Method | 1.15 | | 0.15 |
| Touchdown Pitch Angle (°) | Crab Method | 4.15 | 7.5 | 3.35 |
| | Sideslip Method | 4.21 | | 3.29 |
| Landing Roll Distance (ft) | Crab Method | 463.35 | | 463.35 |
| | Sideslip Method | 408.15 | - | 408.15 |
| Lateral Distance from Stop | Crab Method | -0.3 | | 0.3 |
| Point to Runway Centerline (m) | Sideslip Method | -0.2 | 0 | 0.2 |

4. Discussion and Teaching Implications

This study, through flight simulation experiments, has quantitatively verified and mechanistically analyzed a series of traditionally experiential skills in flight instruction, offering profound implications for flight training pedagogy.

4.1. Promoting the Shift from "Experience Transfer" to "Data-Driven" Flight Instruction

The core value of this research lies in providing a feasible path to transform vague "flying feel" into precise "flight parameters." For instance, the linear model linking aiming point and threshold height offers an intuitive teaching tool for instructors correcting student landing deviations. The gradient decision model for crosswind methods provides a scientific basis for selecting teaching techniques, reducing absolute reliance on individual instructor experience. Instruction should encourage and train students to develop data-based situational awareness; for example, not only recognizing that the "aiming point is too far forward," but understanding that "an aiming point 100 feet forward may increase threshold height by 5.4 feet, requiring additional landing roll distance."

4.2. Optimizing the Training Syllabus and Exercise Sequencing

The research findings can be directly applied to optimize the training syllabus:

- 1. Landing Training: Specialized explanation and practice on landing aiming points should be reinforced, using simulators to allow students to personally experience the landing outcome differences resulting from various aiming point selections.
- 2. High-Approach Handling: The "Flap Extension Method" should be explicitly designated as the fundamental altitude reduction technique for focused training. The "Sideslip Method" can be introduced later as a supplement and contingency procedure, once students have proficiently mastered aircraft energy management.
- 3. Crosswind Training: A graded training scheme can be constructed. Focus on honing Sideslip Method skills in lighter crosswinds. As training progresses, gradually introduce Crab Method training in stronger crosswinds, and ultimately teach the Crab-Sideslip Combined Strategy, forming a progressive skill development path.

4.3. Deepening the Application of Simulation Platforms in Teaching and Research

This study demonstrates the significant potential of flight simulation platforms in flight technology research. In the future, simulation platforms should be further deeply integrated into daily instruction, serving not only as procedural trainers but also as platforms for technical verification and exploration. Simultaneously, a joint analysis mechanism based on Quick Access Recorder (QAR) and flight simulation data can be established. Cross-referencing real flight data with simulation experimental results will enable the continuous iteration and refinement of flight training models, ultimately building a closed-loop, self-optimizing flight instruction and research system.

5. Conclusion

Based on four systematic flight simulation studies, this paper has conducted in-depth quantitative analysis and pedagogical integration of three key technologies in initial flight training. The main conclusions are as follows:

1. Control of the landing aiming point is the cornerstone of a stable approach. Its clear linear relationship with runway threshold height must be emphasized quantitatively in teaching.

2. High-approach energy management should adhere to the "stability-first" principle. The Flap Extension Method, due to its superior directional stability and shorter landing distance, is more suitable as the primary training method for students.

3. The selection of crosswind correction methods needs to be dynamically matched to wind speed. Constructing a decision model of "Sideslip for low wind, Crab for high wind, with a combined transition" can effectively enhance students' control efficiency and flight safety margin.

In summary, conducting quantitative research on flight techniques using flight simulation platforms is an effective way to promote the scientization, standardization, and refinement of flight instruction. Future teaching and research should continue to deepen the data-driven philosophy, fully leverage the advantages of simulation technology, and persistently explore the formation laws of flight skills and optimal teaching methods, thereby cultivating high-quality aviation professionals with solid technical foundations and rigorous scientific literacy for the civil aviation industry.

Acknowledgements

The author gratefully acknowledges the support provided by the School of Flight Technology at the Civil Aviation Flight University of China. Sincere thanks are also extended to all the students who participated in this series of research projects for their diligent work and creative contributions.

References

- [1] Li Dan, Xiang Shulan, Du Linze, et al. Research on the Effect of High Approach on C172 Landing Performance Based on Simulation Flight Platform [C]. The 2nd Annual Conference on Aircraft Performance, Chengdu, 2024.
- [2] Li Dan, Wei Lin, Li Dongyang. A Study of the Effect of C172R Landing Aiming Point Deviation on Runway Entrance Heights [C]. The 2nd Annual Conference on Aircraft Performance, Chengdu, 2024.
- [3] Song Haolong. Analysis of the Deviation in Correction Operation and Improvement Strategies during the Landing Stage Based on X-Plane [D]. Guanghan: Civil Aviation Flight University of China, 2025.
- [4] Zhan Ao. Research on the Influence of the Crab Method and Sideslip Method on the Landing Stability of the SR20 Aircraft during Crosswind Approach Based on a Flight Simulation Platform [D]. Guanghan: Civil Aviation Flight University of China, 2025.
- [5] Zhang Libin, Su Shengxi. Analysis of Aircraft Landing in Crosswind [J]. Flight Dynamics, 2002, 20(4): 51-55.
- [6] Van Es G W H, van der Geest P J, Nieuwpoort A M H. Safety aspects of aircraft operations in crosswind [J]. National Aerospace Laboratory (NLR), 2001: 217.
- [7] Theis J, Ossmann D, Thielecke F. Robust Autopilot Design for Landing a Large Civil Aircraft in Crosswind [J]. Control Engineering Practice, 2018, 76: 54-64.

- [8] Flight Standards Department, Civil Aviation Administration of China. Stable Approach: OSB-2024-04 [Z]. Beijing: Flight Standards Department, CAAC, 2024.
- [9] Cooper G E, Harper R P. The use of pilot rating in the evaluation of aircraft handling qualities [M]. NASA, 1969.
- [10] Cirrus Design Corporation. SR20 Pilot's Operating Handbook and FAA Approved Airplane Flight Manual (POH) [Z]. 2021.