ISSN:2790-1688 Volume-14-(2025)

Quantum Dots in Bioimaging: Advances, Challenges, and Future Perspectives

Jie Pan

Department of Chemistry, Michigan State University, East Lansing, 48823, USA

panjie5@msu.edu

Abstract. Quantum dots (QDs) are size-dependent semiconductor crystal fluorescent markers at the nanoscale, which have revolutionized biomedical imaging through enhanced photostability, multiplexing, and emission-spectrum tunability. QDs, in the last two decades, have proved their utility across several types of imaging applications, ranging from in vitro labeling to deep-tissue visualization and tracking living cells. But their clinical use is limited because of their toxicity, long-term biocompatibility, and complicated surface modification. This is a narrative overview of the synthesis and structural engineering of QDs, including bottom-up chemical approaches, core–shell engineering, and biomedical compatibility surface modifications. The article also addresses the significant characterization methods—like TEM, DLS, PL spectroscopy, and zeta potential analysis—that are critical for evaluating their morphology, optical, and surface characteristics. Biomedical applications are classified as in vitro, in vivo, and molecular targeting imaging, each with specific requirements and challenges for performance. QDs hold promise, but they suffer from important hurdles, including toxic effects of heavy metals, photoxicity, and inefficient clearance from biological systems, as well as restricted regulatory endorsement. Future directions, including metal-free QDs, AI-based fluorescence analysis, and compatibility with multimodal imaging platforms, are discussed in this review as well. They seek to bypass the hurdles and propel QDs toward clinic readiness. In conclusion, quantum dots represent a powerful yet evolving imaging technology. Their future lies in balancing performance with safety through interdisciplinary research, material innovation, and ethical oversight.

Keywords: Quantum Dots, Biomedical Imaging, Nanomaterials, Toxicity and Biocompatibility, Surface Functionalization.

1. Introduction

Quantum dots (QDs), semiconductor nanocrystals with size-dependent luminescence, revolutionized biomedical imaging in the two decades past because they possess high photostability, wide excitation spectra, and sharp emission bands [1, 2]. In contrast to traditional fluorescent dyes, QDs provide improved performance in tracking with living cells and deep tissue imaging, for which the bottlenecks are signal loss and photobleaching [3]. Nevertheless, their strengths notwithstanding, critical obstacles still exist.

The toxicity of core material like cadmium is a safety and regulatory issue, and this is a concern, especially in clinical use. This poses a persistent conflict between optimal image performance and biocompatibility [4]. Further, while QDs have demonstrated huge promise for multiplexed imaging and NIR applications [5, 6], incorporating them into stable, safe biomedical platforms is still an open challenge.

This review seeks to review the synthesis and characterization of quantum dots and their biomedical applications, with a focus on trade-offs of functionality and safety. Following a narrative review strategy rather than a systematic or scoping review strategy, it takes advantage of interdisciplinary publications from materials science through to clinical biology without statistical meta-analysis or strict inclusion criteria [7].

The core research query is: How do quantum dots improve imaging performance in biomedicine, and what are the challenges associated with their synthesis, characterization, and medical use? To address this question, the literature is thematically grouped into six related categories: (1) structural synthesis and design strategies, (2) optical and physical property characterization techniques, (3) applications for live-cell and in vivo imaging, (4) multiplex and spectral tunability, (5) cytotoxicity and biocompatibility, and (6) prospects for clinical translation.

These are the emerging themes from the notable trends in peer-reviewed high-impact studies as well as from the analytical frameworks provided by Resch-Genger and Gao. Instead of extensive coverage, this review selectively focuses on landmark studies elucidating the important debates and developments in QD-based bioimaging.

2. Synthesis and Structural Design of Quantum Dots

Synthesis of quantum dots (QDs) forms the basis of their optical properties, stability, as well as their applications in biomedical imaging. Being zero-dimensional nanocrystals, QDs have size-dependent quantum confinement phenomena, where property parameters like emission wavelength and quantum yield are precisely tunable through synthesis parameters and structural engineering [8]. Most of the biomedical-grade QDs are synthesized by bottom-up chemical routes, especially by colloidal synthesis. Here, precursors to metals and chalcogenides react in hot solvents with surfactants that are usually used to regulate nanocrystalline growth. More sophisticated is the method of hot injection, which permits controlled size dispersion as well as nucleation, which happens quickly, yielding highly luminescent QDs with narrow band emission widths and high quantum yield [1].

One of the most widely used enhancement methods is developing core-shell structures. Typically, a semiconductor core (e.g., CdSe) is coated with a wide bandgap shell (e.g., ZnS). The surface defects are passivated, which reduces non-radiative decay, increases brightness, and stabilizes chemically while controlling toxicity through the segregation of heavy metals from the core [8]. Recent advancements include gradient alloy shells like CdSe/CdxZn1 – xS, which reduce lattice mismatch and improve emission homogeneity [3].

One of the most useful aspects of QDs in imaging technology is that they can, in fact, control emission in terms of size. The smaller ones can emit in blue wavelengths, but by making them slightly bigger, you transition into red or near-infrared. This can enable tailored spectral design, where deep tissue penetration in near-IR or sharp color multiplexing can be achieved, which optical dyes can't [9].

However, as-synthesized quantum dots (QDs) are hydrophobic in nature and unsuitable for biological environments. This tendency is compensated for by employing surface modification techniques to add solubility as well as functionalization. Ligand exchange reactions, such as replacement of long-chain hydrophobic ligands with thiolic-containing analogs like mercaptoacetic acid or PEG, also enhance dispersal in water as well as reduce nonspecific interactions. Alternatively, silica coating or encapsulation ensures steric protection in addition to multivalency for potential future bioconjugation [10]. These modifications also impact biodistribution as well as clearance rates, which in turn modulate the behavior of QDs in vivo.

3. Characterization Techniques for Quantum Dots

Precise characterization of QDs is critical in order to elucidate their optical and physicochemical properties, with direct implications for their biomedical imaging performance. Because of their size and surface-dependent nature, multiple analysis methods must be employed. Herein, the methods of prime importance to analyze structural, optical, and surface features of QDs are described, with their advantages and limitations discussed.

3.1 Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)

TEM is commonly employed to establish the size, morphology, and crystallinity of QDs at the nanoscale. It is used to verify monodispersity and core-shell morphology. A transparent crystalline lattice is typically associated with increased quantum yield._ Benefit: High-resolution images; thorough structural information. Limitations: Needs to use dry samples under conditions of vacuum, potentially not representing dispersion in biological conditions.

3.2 Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS)

DLS determines the hydrodynamic diameter of the QDs in colloid suspensions and gives information regarding the size distribution and aggregation of the QDs in solution. Pros: Appropriate for evaluating the stability of colloids in physiological conditions. Limitations: Aggregates are sensitive; lower size resolution than that of TEM.

3.3 UV-Visible Absorption and Photoluminescence (PL) Spectroscopy

UV-vis absorption spectroscopy identifies size-dependent optical properties through the position of the first excitonic peak. PL spectroscopy detects the emission properties such as intensity, peak broadness (FWHM), and quantum yield (QY), all of which indicate surface passivation and defect states. Advantages: It is non-destructive, rapid, and beneficial for monitoring optical quality. Limitations: Gives indirect structural information; influenced by impurities or surface traps.

3.4 Zeta Potential Measurement

QDs' surface charge is measured through the zeta potential, determining their dispersion, cellular uptake, and biodistribution in vivo. Pros: Can predict stability and interaction with biological membranes. Limitations: Interpretation is affected by medium composition (e.g., ionic strength, pH).

3.5 FTIR and XPS (Surface Chemistry Analysis)

FTIR detects the surface functional groups based on vibrational modes, whereas XPS delivers elemental composition and oxidation states. Benefit: Essential for ligand binding confirmation and material capping. Limitations: Surface sensitivity only; Bulk characterization is not appropriate.

4. Biomedical Applications of Quantum Dots in Bioimaging

Quantum dots (QDs) have revolutionized biomedical imaging through their excellent optical properties, such as brightness, broad excitation bands, narrow emission profiles, and high photostability. They have a unique advantage over classical organic dyes, especially in multiplexed imaging applications, in tracking over extended time scales, or in high spatial resolution. The use of QDs in bioimaging over the past few years has been applied in three main areas: in vitro imaging, in vivo imaging, and targeted molecular imaging.

4.1 In Vitro Imaging

In vitro applications of QDs target labeling cells, proteins, or nucleic acids with high spatial resolution. In contrast to in vivo applications, which require tissue penetration and biocompatibility, in vitro applications take advantage of a relatively controlled environment and permit more extensive functionalizations and imaging protocols. QDs are conjugated with biomolecules like antibodies, peptides, or oligonucleotides to achieve highly specific labeling of subcellular structures. Wang, Y, for instance, showed that streptavidin-conjugated CdSe/ZnS QDs could readily capture biotinylated antibodies to detect cancer markers on the membranes of living cells—with stable retention of the signal for many hours, greatly improving upon the conventional dyes such as FITC.

A specific requirement for in vitro imaging is the requirement for accurate subcellular localization and minimal cross-talk for multiplex analysis. The tunability of the emission spectra of QDs satisfies this requirement and provides the ability to monitor multiple biomarkers simultaneously. Also, their minimal blinking upon short-term observation confirms dynamic process imaging, including endocytic monitoring in real-time or intracellular transport. Nevertheless, the rigidity of the cellular environment and potential steric hindrance from densely packed organelles are still a hindrance to QD mobility and target access, being a concern for labeling efficiency and for interpretation of the signals.

4.2 In Vivo Imaging and Tracking

In vivo bioimaging with QDs represents a more demanding but influential application, most notably in small animal systems. Their high quantum yield and long emission lifetime enable signal penetration deep into tissues as well as sensitive signal detection. One of the most salient examples of their applications involves the utilization of NIR emission from QDs in vascular imaging as well as tumor demarcation. Near-infrared-emitting QDs (~700–900 nm) reduce background autofluorescence and increase tissue penetration depth [2]. QDs functionalized with PEG and targeting ligands have undergone a groundbreaking investigation whereby they selectively accumulated in tumor tissue by the enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect, facilitating real-time tumor margin imaging.

In addition, since QDs can withstand photobleaching, they can effectively be used to label cells, e.g., stem cells or immune cells, to track them over extended periods as they travel through the body. However, applications in biological systems are hindered by toxicity levels due to heavy metal constituents in core materials like Cd or Pb. To overcome this, studies increasingly aim to develop low-toxicity substitutes like InP/ZnS QDs, which have similar optical performance but better biocompatibility [7].

4.3 Targeted Molecular Imaging

Targeted imaging, which utilizes QDs as ligand-conjugated contrast agents that bind to disease-specific biomarkers, can achieve highly specific molecular-level imaging of diseased tissue. This can find applications in early cancer detection as well as precision diagnostics. For instance, Medintz prepared QDs coupled with monoclonal antibodies that selectively target HER2/neu receptors overexpressed in some breast cancers. The resulting fluorescence signals enabled discrimination of cancerous versus non-cancerous cells from a mixed cell population, indicating the potential of QDs in tumor diagnosis and planning for treatment.

In addition to cancer, QDs have also been studied in targeted imaging of neurodegenerative markers, inflammatory foci, as well as sites of microbial infections. Due to their high surface area, they can engage in multivalent binding, enhancing targeting efficiency as well as detection sensitivity. Additionally, since multiple different QDs can have different emission profiles, multiplexed imaging can be achieved, in which multiple biomarkers can be visualized in a single image acquisition session.

5. Challenges and Future Perspectives

Despite their transformative promise for biomedical imaging, their clinical applicability is limited by various scientific, technical, and ethical challenges. Every challenge is also a path for future innovation and research.

5.1 Toxicity and Biocompatibility

The greatest concern with QDs is their inherent toxicity, especially for core materials based on cadmium, selenium, and lead. Cd2+ leakage from CdSe/ZnS QDs upon oxidative stress has the potential to induce cytotoxicity and cell apoptosis [2]. Even less toxic options like InP/ZnS necessitate careful surface passivation to avoid immune activation or nonspecific organ accumulation [9].

Future direction: Synthesis of metal-free QDs like carbon dots, silicon QDs, and graphene QDs represents a hopeful way to address concerns about toxicity. Although they suffer from similar limitations in quantum yield or emission sharpness, they are more biocompatible and easier to clear from the kidneys [4, 7]. Further synthesis and surface engineering improvement can make them accessible for clinical applications.

5.2 Phototoxicity and Optical Trade-offs

Although QDs boast excellent photostability, high-intensity excitation can still generate reactive oxygen species (ROS), damaging nearby tissues during prolonged imaging sessions [1]. Protective shell designs can reduce ROS formation but may result in increased particle size, limiting tissue penetration and cellular uptake.

Future direction includes shell engineering advances—e.g., shell thickness optimization without sacrificing size, which could potentially balance biocompatibility, photostability, and brightness. Application-oriented QD designs could minimize ROS generation while maintaining functionality through the tailoring of excitation/emission properties.

5.3 Biodistribution and Clearance

Because they are non-biodegradable and hydrodynamically larger than renal clearance limits, QDs tend to accumulate in the spleen and liver and can create long-term toxicity [9]. Restricted clearance profiles make repeat dosing problematic and compromise longitudinal use.

Future direction: The Development of smaller, renal-clearable QDs (<5.5 nm) with the use of degradable coatings or organic frameworks has the potential to enhance clearance. Concurrently, more systematic toxicology studies and longer-term animal studies are required to assess retention hazards and define regulatory guidelines.

5.4 Surface Functionalization and Standardization

Effective bioimaging with QDs is only made possible through conjugation with targeting molecules, but this process for surface modification is susceptible to inefficiencies, charge disruption, and aggregation [6]. Additionally, a lack of reproducibility prevents regulatory approval and clinical standardization.

Future direction: The area has to establish universal and scalable protocols for bioconjugation that ensure QD stability while providing high targeting specificity. Approaches consist of applying click chemistry, zwitterionic ligands, and the use of modular coating systems that retain colloid behavior and prevent nonspecific uptake.

5.5 Regulatory and Ethical Barriers

Even as technical challenges are being overcome, the QD-based technologies are faced with limited regulatory acceptance. Lack of non-linear pharmacokinetics, inadequate toxicological information, and uncertain long-term behavior have restricted QDs from FDA-approved clinical use.

Ethical considerations—most notably in children or immunocompromised patients—also complicate matters.

Future direction: Interdisciplinary collaboration between scientists, clinicians, ethicists, and regulators is critical. Implementing standardized frameworks for toxicity evaluations and long-term data collection protocols will be vital to transition from preclinical to clinical trials safely and ethically.

5.6 Integration with Multimodal and Intelligent Systems

Aside from technical problem-solving, QDs can extend their applications through combination with multimodal imaging systems. Combining QDs with photoacoustic or magnetic elements makes it possible to achieve high fluorescence sensitivity paired with deep tissue resolution [2]. Moreover, machine learning methods are able to deconvolute sophisticated fluorescence signals for image analysis to provide high-throughput diagnostic information.

Future direction: Investigating QD-based hybrid probes and AI-aided bioimaging will enable richer biological interpretation and more precise diagnostic results, particularly in multifaceted in vivo situations. Such methods hold the forefront of personalized, precision imaging.

6. Conclusion

Quantum dots (QDs) revolutionized biomedical imaging with their distinct photophysical properties to bring about improved resolution, multiplexed labeling, and sustained stability of the signal. Quantum dots are superior to traditional fluorophores in terms of sensitivity and imaging depth.

Despite that, translation of QDs from bench to clinic is limited by toxicity, incomplete clearance from biological systems, and technical difficulties in surface functionalization. As emphasized in this review, the discrepancy between high-performing materials and clinic-readiness highlights the necessity for enhanced biocompatibility, standardization of characterization processes, and the use of sound safety assessments.

In general, the use of QDs represents the full promise of the use of nanomaterials for precision medicine. Its success will not only hinge upon technological innovation but upon collaborative efforts among the research, clinical, and regulatory sectors.

References

[1] Derfus, A. M., Chan, W. C., & Bhatia, S. N. (2004). Probing the cytotoxicity of semiconductor quantum dots. Nano Letters, 4(1), 11–18. https://doi.org/10.1021/nl0347334

[2] Hines, M. A., & Guyot-Sionnest, P. (1996). Synthesis and characterization of strongly luminescing ZnS-capped CdSe nanocrystals. The Journal of Physical Chemistry, 100(2), 468–471. https://doi.org/10.1021/jp9530562

[3] Gao, X., Cui, Y., Levenson, R. M., Chung, L. W., & Nie, S. (2004). In vivo cancer targeting and imaging with semiconductor quantum dots. Nature Biotechnology, 22(8), 969–976. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt994

[4] Liu, Junjun & Li, Rui & Yang, Bai. (2020). Carbon Dots: A New Type of Carbon-Based Nanomaterial with Wide Applications. ACS Central Science. 6. 10.1021/acscentsci.0c01306.

[5] Resch-Genger, U., Grabolle, M., Cavaliere-Jaricot, S., Nitschke, R., & Nann, T. (2008). Quantum dots versus organic dyes as fluorescent labels. Nature Methods, 5(9), 763–775. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1248

[6] Smith, A. M., Duan, H., Mohs, A. M., & Nie, S. (2008). Bioconjugated quantum dots for in vivo molecular and cellular imaging. Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews, 60(11), 1226–1240. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2008.03.015

[7] Lim, S. Y., Shen, W., & Gao, Z. (2015). Carbon quantum dots and their applications. Chemical Society Reviews, 44(1), 362–381. https://doi.org/10.1039/C4CS00269E

[8] Medintz, I. L., Uyeda, H. T., Goldman, E. R., & Mattoussi, H. (2005). Quantum dot bioconjugates for imaging, labelling and sensing. Nature Materials, 4(6), 435–446. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat1390

[9] Michalet, X., Pinaud, F. F., Bentolila, L. A., Tsay, J. M., Doose, S., Li, J. J., Sundaresan, G., Wu, A. M., Gambhir, S. S., & Weiss, S. (2005). Quantum dots for live cells, in vivo imaging, and diagnostics. Science, 307(5709), 538–544. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1104274

[10] Wang, Y., & Tang, Z. (2017). Quantum dot-based sensing for biomedical applications. Analyst, 142(3), 441–455. https://doi.org/10.1039/C6AN02165H